166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

F.No.89-130/E-159408/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Late Babu Singh Balika Mahavidyalaya, Asalat Nagar, Chhibramau, Kannoj, Uttar Pradesh dated 09/07/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-4685/256th Meeting (Part-2)/158690 dated 23.09.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 23.09.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Krishna Kumar Yadav, Sr. Clerk and Sh. Anil Kumar, Representative, Late Babu Singh Balika Mahavidyalaya, Asalat Nagar, Chhibramau, Kannoj, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "After getting LOI we approached affiliating body i.e. CSJM University, Kanpur but they have not approved the faculty and the approval was delayed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had filed a S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8552 of 2020 in the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad and the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 06/03/2020 directed as under:-

> *"The order passed by the NCTE was not immediately challenged. It is not in issue that on the date when the petitioner made the application for grant of recognition it had no faculty available with it in the institution. Whether or not the University has issued a panel cannot be a ground for challenging the denial of recognition to petitioner, inasmuch as on the date of consideration the petitioner was not possessing requisite educational staff. In case the petitioner intended to prefer appeal it could do so within a period of 60 days. No such appeal has been preferred by the petitioner. It is always open for the petitioner to prefer an appeal along with delay condonation application and its merits will have to be examined*

by the appellate authority, at the first instance. Without even filing of the appeal there would be no occasion for this Court to direct condonation of delay, in proposed filing of appeal, particularly when the challenge to the order is being made after expiry of more than three years. The orders of the Court which are relied upon by the petitioner have been passed in the facts of particular case and do not lay down any proposition of law which may support the petitioner. No direction, therefore, it required to be issued by this Court to condone the delay in filing of the appeal. In such circumstances the writ petition lacks any merit and is dismissed. The dismissal of this writ petition, however, will not preclude the petitioner from preferring an appeal along with application for condonation of delay which shall dealt with by the appellate authority in accordance with law."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Hon'ble High Court had rightly said that 'It is not an issue that on the date when the petitioner made an application for grant of recognition, it had no faculty available with it in the institution.' Appeal Committee noted that NCTE Regulations also don't require availability of faculty as on the date of making application. However, an institution is required to select and appoint faculty with the approval of affiliating university only after issue of a Letter of Intent under Clause 7 (13) of the Regulations.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that in the instant case a Letter of Intent (L.O.I.) dated 30/04/2015 was issued and appellant institution was given 60 days time to submit compliance on various points inter-alia selection and appointment of faculty. Appeal Committee further noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 23/09/2015 was issued to appellant institution seeking reasons within 30 days for not submitting reply to L.O.I. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution did not submit reply to S.C.N.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that non submission of (i) Compliance report to the L.O.I. dated 30/04/2015 and (ii) reply to S.C.N. dated 23/09/2015 resulted in issue of impugned refusal order dated 23/09/2016 which was appealable within 60 days. Appellant did neither prefer appeal within time limit nor sought extension of time at any stage after issue of L.O.I. for submitting reply. Appeal Committee decided to confirm the refusal order dated 23/09/2016.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that delay of more than three years, in preferring appeal coupled with non-submitting of compliance to L.O.I. and reply to S.C.N., is not condonable in the absence of any justification from the appellant institution. Appeal Committee concluded to confirm impugned refusal order dated 23/09/2016. Appellant institution is, however, free to apply afresh for the course as and when NCTE issues notification inviting applications under the revised NCTE Regulation, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Manager, Late Babu Singh Balika Mahavidyalaya, Asalat Nagar, Chhibramau, Kannoj, Uttar Pradesh – 209721.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

(2)

F.No.89-131/E-159559/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of S.S. Tegnoor Degree College, Gubbi Colony, M.B. Nagar Road, Kalaburagi, Badepur, Gulbarga, Karnataka dated 26/06/2020 is against the Order No. Minutes of the 385 Meeting held by SRC dated 03/03 dated 03.03.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "application received after 15 days or more from date of submitting online application."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ravindra S. Tegnoor, Secretary and Sh. S. S. Tegnoor, Representative, S.S. Tegnoor Degree College, Gubbi Colony, M.B. Nagar Road, Kalaburagi, Badepur, Gulbarga, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we have submitted the online application on 07/08/19 with the prescribed fee of Rs.1,50,000/- with transaction id ba250b288c843fb1aa6e7d1f2cd8fd dated 31/07/19 at 8.44 pm. to submit the hardcopy it is delayed due to not getting NOC from State Government."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted online application seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme and the date of online application as reflected in the Agenda of 385th Meeting of SRC is 31/07/2019. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 02/09/2020 submitted copy of speed post receipt as evidence of having despatched the application on 13/08/2019. Appeal Committee considers the date of despatch by speed post as actual date of submission. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of S.S. Tegnoor Degree College, Gubbi Colony, M.B. Nagar Road, Kalaburagi, Badepur, Gulbarga, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Principal, S.S. Tegnoor Degree College, Gubbi Colony, M.B. Nagar Road, Kalaburagi, Badepur, Gulbarga – 585105, Karnataka.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

3

F.No.89-132/E-159608/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of National Arts and Commerce Degree College, Kalaburgi, Gulbarga, Karnataka dated 22/06/2020 is against the Order No. Minutes of the 385 Meeting of the SRC held on 03.03.2020 dated 03.03.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "application which are received after 15 days or more, from the date making online application."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Mohammed Najeemuddin, Manager, National Arts and Commerce Degree College, Kalaburgi, Gulbarga, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we have submitted the online application on 31/08/2019 at 10.34 pm and paid the fee 1,50,000/- transaction ID 42EF278878B31FD5D87B9CB5BD18FB and submitted the hard copy within the date is 14/08/2019 due to postal delay (EK664777519IN)."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order dated 27/08/2020 is for summarily rejecting the application on the ground that application was received after stipulated 15 days. Clause 2 (b) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014 provides for summary rejection of application in case of failure to submit printout of application made online within 15 days of submission of online application.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 31/07/2019. Appellant during appeal hearing on 02/09/2020 submitted evidence to the effect that printout of application was despatched through speed post on 14/08/2019. Appeal Committee considers the date of despatch of

printout through an admissible mode such as speed post as date of submission. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of National Arts and Commerce Degree College, Kalaburgi, Gulbarga, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, National Arts and Commerce Degree College, 94/1, 2 & 3, Malagati Road, Kalaburgi, Gulbarga, Karnataka – 585104.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

4

F.No.89-133/E-159607/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Mettu Seshamma, Sri Mettu Chinnamalla Reddy College of Education, Pattabhipuram, Syamala Nagar Main Road, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh dated 16/06/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO6117/B.Ed./AP/2020 dated 26.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the building of the institution is on the rented residential building. So far no attempt has been made to procure land and construction of building as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution is required to submit certified copy of registered land duly approved by the Competent Govt. Authority. The building plan submitted by the institution is in the name of individual. The approving authority is in regional language. The BCC submitted by the institution is in the name of individual. The Principal does not possess Ph.D/NET, 3 professors do not possess NET since their appoint dates are after 09.06.2017. The institution has not appointed faculty for Fine Arts & 3 faculties do not having minimum marks in PG. The institution has submitted FDR for Rs. 8 lakh only against the requirement of 12 lakh along with Form A as per NCTE Regulations."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Chandra Mohan S., Principal, Sri Mettu Seshamma, Sri Mettu Chinnamalla Reddy College of Education, Pattabhipuram, Syamala Nagar Main Road, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "SRC vide its order dated 26.02.2020 has withdrawn our recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified by the institution. Appellant institution submitted the application to SRC, NCTE for starting the B.Ed. course and also submitted documents as per the NCTE Regulations. SRC, NCTE after conducting the expert visit and verifying the Appellant infrastructural and instructional facilities vide its order issued LOI

and directed the appellant to get the staff approval, etc. It is submitted that accordingly the affiliating body constituted the selection panel for the appointment of the faculties. Accordingly, necessary compliance was submitted by the Appellant to the SRC requesting to issue the recognition order. SRC after scrutiny of the documents and all relevant factors granted permission vide its order dated 30.04.2007 for running the B.Ed. Course with annual intake of 100 students. It is relevant to state that the lease deed was permitted while setting up the college. A true copy of the recognition order dated 30.04.2007 is annexed. NCTE issued the Revised Regulation 2014 and the revised order of recognition vide its letter dated 11.05.2015 for 100 thereafter SRC vide its corrigendum dated 31.10.2015 permitted the institution for One unit of 50 intake. It is relevant to state that for One Unit there was no need of extra infrastructure as per the new Regulation. SRC, NCTE thereafter decided to issue a show cause notice to the institution for non-compliance of the regulation 2014 and accordingly issued show cause notice dated 06.03.2019. Institution vide its letter dated 16.05.2019 submitted the compliances alongwith all the documents. It is submitted that surprisingly the SRC, NCTE without verifying the documents submitted by the institution, issued another show cause notice dated 29.11.2019. Institution vide its letter dated 27.12.2019 submitted all the documents once again to the SRC. SRC, NCTE vide its withdrawal order dated 26.02.2020 withdrew the recognition of the Appellant institution on the grounds without giving any opportunity to the institution. The following documents are being submitted. Certified Copy of the Original Land Documents and Certified Lease Deed. b. Approved and legible Building Plan in English. c. Approved Site Plan. d. Translated Copy and Copy of the NEC. e. Documents of the Trust/Society f. Faculty list along with qualification approved by the affiliating body. g. FDRs. Expert team of the NCTE visited the Appellant Institution and verified the infrastructural and instructional facilities. Thereafter, the SRC verified the visiting team report and viewed the CD and consider the documents including Building Completion Certificate, Building Plan etc. and accorded the recognition order to the Appellant Institution and the recognition wont have been withdrawn without ascertaining the proper facts. SRC vide its order dated 26.02.2020 withdrew the recognition of the Appellant Institution pointing out certain other point which were not a part of show cause notice and Appellant had no opportunity to justify."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats by an order dated 30/04/2007. Para 3 (iii) of the recognition order made it incumbent on the institution to shift to its own premises/building within 3 years from the date of recognition (In case the course started in rented premises).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted from the regulatory file that appellant institution for the purpose of seeking recognition submitted two gift deeds dated 24/12/2005. By virtue of these gift deeds Sh. Mettu Satnarayana Reddy executed gift agreements of land in favour of Smt. Meetu Seshamma, Sri Mettu China Malla Reddy College of Education. Appeal Committee noted that building plan and Building Completion Certificate (BCC) mentions the name of Meetu Satyanarayana Reddy as owner of property whereas Sh. Mettu Satyanarayana Reddy had already gifted the land. Appeal Committee is therefore of the view that appellant institution is required to clarify with supporting evidence whether the land and building is owned by institution or it is on lease basis for which copy of an agreement was submitted by the applicant with reply to S.C.N.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that intake of B.Ed. programme of the appellant institution is 50 seats and as such appellant institution was not required to appoint faculty and acquire built up area beyond 1500 sq. meters. There is no denying the fact that Principal of the Institution does not possess Ph.D. degree in any of the pedagogy subject but SRC should have also noted that Principal was appointed in the year 2010. Likewise the three faculty who are not NET/SET qualified as per NCTE notification dated 29/05/2017 (Published on 09/06/2017) were shown appointed on 12/06/2017. It is logical to presume that their selection and approval process through affiliating body were initiated much earlier than the amendment notification of June, 2017. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has submitted copies of FDRs and Form 'A'.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that (i) appellant institution is functioning from the land and building where it was granted recognition (ii) institution has a reduced intake of 50 seats, (iii) Principal and faculty are appointed, (iv) faculty for painting and dance are available, (v) FDRs and Form 'A' submitted. Appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders copies of all the required documents. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submission made by appellant. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Mettu Seshamma, Sri Mettu Chinnamalla Reddy College of Education, Pattabhipuram, Syamala Nagar Main Road, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Sri Mettu Seshamma, Sri Mettu Chinnamalla Reddy College of Education, Guntur, 2-14-151, Pattabhipuram, Syamala Nagar Main Road, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh – 522006.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

5

NCTE

F.No.89-134/E-159943/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Trimurti B.Ed. College for Women, At-Trimurtinagar, Newasa Phata, Murme, Ahmednagar Aurangabad Highway, Newasa, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra dated 08/04/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/5-6/APW01827/123171/311th/2019/206819 dated 14.10.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ghadge Patil, CEO and Ms. Snehal, Trustee, Trimurti B.Ed. College for Women, At-Trimurtinagar, Newasa Phata, Murme, Ahmednagar Aurangabad Highway, Newasa, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Trimurti B.Ed. College for Women"-NCTE Code No. APW01827/123271 - was recognised first time by WRC-NCTE for initial intake of 100 Girls for A.Y. 2006-2007 vide recognition order dated 14/08/2006 bearing No WRC/5-6/APW01827/123271/86/ 2006/c-2037 that on direction of Hon'ble the Appellate Authority vide its directions dated 19/07/2006 passed in Appeal No.75/06 as such Recognition was earlier refused by WRC on erroneous presumption of grant of additional intake, where as our application was for grant of new B.Ed college. The Maharashtra Govt subsequently, issued it's permission on 23/09/2006 and the SNDT Women's University Mumbai granted affiliation on academic year wise-from A.Y. 2006-2007 to 2019-20 for last 14 years. We have provided all excellent facilities for 100 intake that right from starting of said B.Ed. college. The said B.Ed. college has two acres of land in the name of B.Ed/M.Ed college, since it was decided to start B.Ed and M.Ed. initially, however, subsequently the management dropped the idea of starting of M.Ed. course, therefore complete land and main building is used for B.Ed. course only from

beginning. The main building G + 4 having total area-1,34,350 sq.ft. i.e. 13435 sq mtrs, however, fourth floor is used as "Girls hostel" for 100 Girls admeasuring 26,870 sq ft. i.e. 2687 sq mtrs with central library as such same building has been constructed on the same plot/ land, the college has common big play ground of 14 Acres shared with other two schools, all labs and reading hall is housed at ground floor admeasuring 26,870 sq.ft. i.e. 2687 sq mtrs. The central library has 14,755 books with excellent furniture made and provided by Godrej Company. This is only fully residential B.Ed. college for Girls- in the State. 2. As per directions circulated by NCTE by letter No F.51-4/2014/NCTE /N&S/dated 24/12/2014, our institute submitted the required affidavit of undertaking vide letter dated 14/01/2015 as to ensure- compliance of NCTE regulation 2014 and requested for issuance of Revised Recognition order for B.Ed. with intake of 100 students (Two basic Units) w.e.f. A.Y. 2015-16. After two reminders and personal persuasions, the WRC-NCTE issued the Revised Recognition order to Trimurti B.Ed. college for Womens for two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two basic units of 50 students each) from A.Y. 2015-16. 3. After receipt of the Revised Recognition order, institute vide letter No.TPP/Women B.Ed-123271/15/c-04 dated 30/10/2015-had submitted compliance to WRC that all norms, rules, NCTE Act/NCTE regulation 2014 along with specific conditions vide para 5, 6 and 7 as enlisted in the said revised order of recognition has been faithfully complied. Further, Institute has submitted the required affidavit as per the guidelines of NCTE Regulation 2014, giving details of appointed qualified staff for two units and staff profile was duly sent on 30/10/2015 to WRC-NCTE. All other copies of land documents, building plan, relevant certificates-were also resubmitted for records. The web-site was updated as desired by WRC too which contained the staff profile and all these documents as land, building documents and BCC, NOC etc. It is requested to refer para-1 of said letter dated 30/10/2015, in which, institute has duly requested the WRC in the last sentence as- Para-1-"you are also requested to kindly intimate us in case any deficiencies found so that same could be complied forthwith please." Para-2- confirms "about existence of facilities and creation of web-site." Para-3-"Institute has suo-motto requested for inspection and verification of facilities as per para-iii of Revised Recognition order dated 25/05/2015." It is submitted that the institute had duly requested the WRC to comply its directions of

inspection and verification of facilities as per para ii & iii of revised order that before issuance of said SCN. 4. The Revised Recognition order vide para-iii, clarified that WRC desired to inspect and verify the facilities, documents after same were duly submitted by 20/02/2016. Our B.Ed college is being run from 2006 for last 14 years having excellent facilities as per Regulation 2014, however, the WRC-NCTE without appreciating the facts on records, without carrying out any inspection- had issued the said show cause notice to our Women's B.Ed College dated 06/02/2017, which was received by post on 20/04/2017 bearing No WRC/APW01827/ 123271 /MH/2016/179864, directing to submit following- "1. The staff profile for the session 2015-16 duly approved by the affiliating body. 2. Originally notarized Change of land use/Non- Encumbrance certificate/building plan and building completion certificate." Practically, these all above certificates were duly verified by WRC itself before Recognition was granted. It is submitted that for a B.Ed. college being run for 14 years, had to submit all these documents/certificates to WRC - otherwise, WRC would have not granted Recognition. The University can not grant affiliation for last 14 years that year wise without verifying the existence of staff and land documents vigorously as per directions of Hon'ble the Apex Court vide para 87(x), (xi) of order dated 06/01/2012 passed in CA No 104/2012 with others, same published-(2012) 2 supreme court cases 425. Thereafter, we personally contacted the WRO and requested him to have the inspection and verification by visiting team done up as desired by WRC as per para-iii, of Revised order, since all these documents were duly submitted and are held on WRC's record, instead insisting for reply to said SCN. It was also pointed out that para ii last sentence of revised order contended that " Building Completion certificate (BCC) may be given along with other documents if available, otherwise it can also be given to the visiting team at the time of inspection." These provisions of para ii and iii clarified the desire of WRC to have inspection of college for its satisfaction, therefore, we insisted to have inspection rather than forcing the SCN. In fact, initially the WRO was convinced with the contentions duly mentioned in its own Revised order and agreed to the request of institute saying that he will seek approval of WRC in the next meeting and visiting team will be sent, however, no meeting took place and no decision on sending inspection team to institute was finalised and finally, we were verbally told to reply the SCN first.

The institution thereafter immediately submitted its explanation with all requisite documents as enlisted in the said show cause notice by representation dated 16/10/2017 and when SCN was not decided, in next four months, again re-replied on 18/02/2018. The copies of representations dated 16/10/2017 & 18/02/2018 are at "Exhibit A-4"-colly. It may kindly be perused the counter copies-proof of registration- as issued by the Newasa Post office dated 23/10/2017 and 26/02/2018 which are affixed behind first page of above each letter- sent as replies. After receipt of said withdrawal order the institute has complained to Postal Dept to confirm whether above both letters were sent and received by WRC and whatever reply of Postal Dept is received-same will be submitted before the Hon'ble Appellate Authority at the time of hearing. The institute is submitting an Affidavit with this appeal confirming that the said SCN was replied vide letters dated 16/10/2017 and 18/02/2018. After receipt of the said withdrawal order when I personally contacted the office of WRC at Dwarka, I was told that due to shifting of WRC office the said letters of institute might have been misplaced as such both the replies are not in the regulatory file. 5. It is solemnly submitted for kind considerations that the WRC NCTE issued a withdrawal order on 14/10/2019 after lapse of 3 years from its issuance of SCN but received by Institute by post on 21/02/2020 – causing delay of 4 months. The withdrawal order states that the institution has not submitted reply to the SCN dated 06/02/2017. It is fact that the Institute had submitted the reply with all the documents as per said SCN vide letters dated 16/10/2017 and 18/02/2018, twice. All these documents were duly on the records of WRC, as same documents were part of first inspection by the committee of Experts, existence of all facilities was reflected on website, staff list and other documents were duly submitted vide letter dated 30/10/2015. Even then SCN was issued and after having replied twice-same was decided adversely on reason that SCN was not at all replied. Since it was an action U/S 17, the WRC could have ensured grant of reasonable opportunity of representation to the Institute by re-confirming whether Institute has actually failed in replying the SCN OR otherwise. It was expected of WRC to grant an opportunity of personal hearing in the interest of justice and fulfilment of principles of natural justice-both could have been extended since Institute is for Girls and same is run for 14 years and SCN was being considered after lapse of 3 years. In fact, Institute cannot be

affiliated by University year wise without confirming these documents and continuation of staff. When institute has requisite staff working for years, it has the required land, buildings since beginning, thus, Recognition can not be withdrawn just for want of copies of certificates. When building can not be without plan, land and building can not be used for 14 years that without completion certificate. It is submitted that the Institute has not contravened clause 8(12) OR any provision of Regulation 2014, Act, rules- conditions of Recognition. In fact, the said SCN-itself was not maintainable at all at the backdrop of WRC's commitment vide para ii and iii- to have-inspection, verification of the documents and that of facilities by 20/02/2016 and without doing the same, SCN is issued after a period of 12 months. It is also question to be decided as to how long WRC can keep the fate of SCN hanging since SCN is issued presuming that institute has contravened the rules, regulations, Act so that institute is not allowed to run for longer periods by deciding the SCN at least within same academic year. It is also submitted that the SCN cannot be suddenly decided at the back of institute after lapse of 3 years period without any re-confirmation from the Institute whether Institute has actually replied OR failed, also without granting any opportunity of personal hearing to the institute. Thus the withdrawal order itself violates the basic principles of Natural justice, test of propriety and legality. The action of WRC also contravenes the own commitments of inspection and verification by 20/02/2016, it is also not in consonance with the directions of Hon'ble Apex Court dated 12/04/2012, para 25, 26, 27, 32 passed in CA No. 3505/2012. In one line, the institute which took 14 years to come up, has been illuminated in one stroke for want of copies of documents which are duly on records of WRC when institute has land, building in use since 14 years. 6. It is repeatedly pointed out to WRC that since we are running two B.Ed colleges as affiliated to two different Universities, that for such institutes, the state Govt and SNDT University has laid down the following concrete procedure to be completed before obtaining the permanent/regular staff approvals from A.Y.2016-17, as follow- firstly, the Joint Director of Higher Education Pune- has to sanction the "staff fixation with workload distribution", thereafter, the Assistant Commissioner, special Cell, Nashik assesses the Roster, then, Section Officer of Govt, of the General Administration Department at Mantralaya, Mumbai grants final assessment to Roster and the institute is thereafter permitted by

the University to publish the advertisements in the News Papers to recruit the required regular/permanent qualified staff as per norms/regulations 2014. The University after verifying the completion of above procedure and publication of advertisement-only then, constitutes a "selection committee". The College further fixes the date of Interview and interviews are conducted by the Selection Committee of University on the scheduled date. The College thereafter submits the report of selected- qualified staff to University by the said selection committee along with all documents showing qualification of each selected candidate for getting "Regular/permanent approvals". The University grants regular/ permanent approval to such selected staff after verifying the original documents of each staff and then sanctions the staff profile accordingly. It could be seen that the above procedure takes lot of time at every stage, therefore, it is difficult to complete the above procedure within the prescribed time of 2 months as per regulations. As such for new college, on receipt of letter of "intent" as per clause 7(13) of Regulation 2014, the University grants initial/casual approval to staff profile-only once, after grant of Recognition and subsequent affiliation, the University grants approvals to staff year wise only twice and thereafter, said existing college had to seek the Regular/permanent approvals of University that from A.Y.2016-17. The above difficulties were also pointed out to the WRC by the Institute vide both the replies dated 16/10/2017 and 18/02/2018. It is fact that we have submitted the staff profile duly approved by University for A.Y.2015-16 and for A.Y.2017-18, thereafter with letter dated 18/02/2018. Due to change in policy for existing colleges from A.Y.2016-17, the institute could not get the copy of regular/permanent staff profile duly approved by University till date. 7. It is submitted that the institute had duly submitted the documents –staff profile for 2015-16 and also for year 2017-18 and change of land use/Non-Encumbrance certificate, building plans and building completion certificate etc along with earlier representations dated 16/10/2017 and same were re-submitted vide letter dated 18/02/2018. The fact that the institution is having required 2 Acres of land in the name of Trimurti Women B.Ed./M.Ed. College since 2006, at Trimurtinagar vide Dast No.2706/2010 & 2707/2010 dated 10/06/2010 right before starting of the Institute, executed by revenue authority and duly registered in the office of Sub Registrar, Tehsil office of Newasa. That the institution had submitted the copies of these registered documents of land having 2

Acres means 87,120 sq.ft., i.e. 8712 sq. mtrs, the building plan which was approved by the local competent authorities as Counter signed by Panchayat officer as building has been constructed on the same G.N. 90/2 with G + 4 with built up area of 1,34,350 Sq.ft. and the building completion certificate showing that the building was complete in all respect since June 2006 with central library. The college has Girl's hostel for 100 Girls- on fourth floor of same building admeasuring about 26,870 sq.ft. with central library, college has a separate mess and common big play ground with all modern sports facilities. The college has maintained the funds as per regulation 2014. In fact, we requested WRC to inspect and verify the same at its convenience before and also immediately after receipt of SCN- pointing out the commitment of WRC as per para ii and iii of revised order. "The WRC may have granted the last opportunity to our institute to submit the documents within 30 days"- as done in case of number of other institutes in the same meeting and same discrimination will be pointed out before the Hon'ble Appellate Authority at the time of hearing. We again submit that our said B.Ed. college fulfilled the all norms regarding the land, the building and staff with funds as per the regulation 2014. Copies of the Non-Encumbrance certificate, approved building plan with completion certificate (BCC) which were duly submitted earlier. The institute/college is completing the recent process on priority to get the regular/permanent staff profile duly approved as per recent policy of State and University. It is fact that the college was recommended by the inspection committee for grant of Recognition only after verification of documents, buildings, land etc in 2006 itself inclusive of documents enlisted in SCN. The college also provided and proved that it possessed all facilities as per norms for starting of new B.Ed. college of 100 intake before the Hon'ble the Appellate Authority in Appeal No 75/06. The college submitted all documents thrice, even all documents were displayed on Web-site as per directions of WRC, despite, SCN was erroneously served and same was replied with all documents enlisted in the SCN vide representations dated 16/10/2017 and 18/02/2018 by registered post, the WRC as usual has withdrawn the Recognition that not on merits, without confirming whether institute has replied OR not, without grant of personal hearing when there was lapse of 3 years. 9. It is fact that the said SCN was issued on 06/02/2017, college requested for physical verification by Inspection by visiting team of Experts that as per directions of

WRC as enlisted in para-iii of Revised Recognition Order due to the past experience that once SCN is issued by WRC, then the WRC does not withdraw the SCN but Withdraws the Recognition. It was expected of WRC in the interest of justice to remind and re-confirm from the Institute whether it has replied the SCN, since SCN is issued as per the statutory provisions of NCTE Act U/S 17(1) and same was kept pended for 3 years. It is submitted that no college which is run for 14 years-would commit such blunder/mistake of not replying the SCN when college is started after incurring very heavy costs and the management is aware of the sequel that if recognition is withdrawn, then it affect the dislocation of students, staff and college premises remain unused for years inviting irreversible financial losses. We expected fair trial and justice as such every institute has to submit such basic documents of land, building, staff approvals as such no initial Recognition OR even affiliation could be granted without these documents. It is submitted that how can WRC casually withdraw the Recognition of college which is run for 14 years that on grounds of as college has not submitted documents, not replied the SCN when these documents are on its own record since 2006, when the said SCN has been replied twice. We submit an affidavit showing that the SCN was duly replied twice and our B.Ed. college faithfully complied the provisions of Act, rules, regulation 2014 and also complied all conditions enlisted in the revised recognition order dated 25/05/2015. 10. It is submitted that we have filed the instant appeal within 60 days from receipt of the withdrawal order on 21/02/2020 by post, however, we feel that order appears to have been back dated as 14/10/2019. Since the WRC in its 311th meeting of 25th to 27th Sept. 2019-had taken collective decision for 87 institutes and appears just quick in replying all within two weeks. The postal pocket containing the said withdrawal order as sent by WRC from Dwarka- does not bear seal of the Dwarka/New Delhi postal office except Track No ED936748877IN but there is no postal seal affixed showing when it was posted at New Delhi. We request to make it as a test case to inquire on which date the said order was posted from New Delhi post office and how can registered letter travels 1100 kms from New Delhi to Newasa Post-consumes 4 months that without bearing any postal seals of intermediate postal offices. It is also solemnly requested to ascertain whether said order of withdrawal-was backdated OR other wise, it is fact that the said postal pocket was received at the

institute on 21/02/2020 and we are pressing the local Post office to issue us the certificate showing the exact date of handing over of said pocket to institute and if reply is received- same will be produced before Hon'ble the Appellate Authority at the time of hearing. In view of above fact that impugned order was received by post on 21/02/2020, as there is no delay but if concluded otherwise, it is solemnly prayed to condone the virtual delay please. In the interest of Girls students, staff and continuation of institute for 14 years with distinction, for avoiding financial losses, we pray for justice with following prayers. 11. A) We humbly request and solemnly pray to set aside the impugned withdrawal order and to restore the Revised Recognition order of our B.Ed. college of Women for A.Y.2020-21- subject to verification of documents duly submitted as enlisted in the said SCN vide our replies dated 16/10/2017 and 18/02/2018 as reproduced with this appeal please. B) We pray that the "last opportunity of grant of 30 days time to submit the documents"- as discretion extended by WRC to other various institutes having equal status in the same- in 311th meeting held on 25th to 27th Sep 2019 as enlisted in said minutes- may kindly be extended to our institute too- in the interest of justice and equality. C) We pray for restoration of Recognition for A.Y.2020-21 since we are fully prepared for inspection and verification of all documents, instructional and infrastructural facilities of our institute by visiting team of experts at our cost at the ease and convenience of WRC. D) We solemnly undertake to deposit the cost of inspection within 15 days of receipt of such order. E) We pray for fixing of time limit for WRC as to decide the SCN from the date of its issuance in general as binding on WRC. f) We pray to ascertain the postal delay which is almost 4 months and reasons attributing it."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats since 2006. A conditional revised recognition order was issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014 on 25/05/2015. Appeal Committee further noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 06/02/2017 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance of the terms and conditions stipulated in the revised recognition order. The impugned order of withdrawal dated 14/10/2019 is on the ground that appellant institution did not submit reply to S.C.N. dated 06/02/2019.

AND WHEREAS appellant with its appeal memoranda submitted copy of its letter dated 30/10/2015 by which it reported compliance of the terms and conditions of the revised recognition order dated 25/05/2015. Appellant also submitted copy of its letter dated 16/10/2017 (submitted by speed post on 23/10/2017) alongwith necessary enclosures in reply to S.C.N. dated 06/02/2017. Appeal Committee noted that whereas appellant has submitted copies of speed post receipts dated 31/10/2015 and 23/10/2017 as evidence of having submitted compliance, these documents are not found placed on the relevant regulatory file.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to resubmit copies of its replies dated 30/10/2015 and 16/10/2017 (with enclosures) to WRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided that WRC shall revisit the matter. Impugned order of withdrawal dated 14/10/2019 is set aside.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to Impugned order of withdrawal dated 14/10/2019 is set aside.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Trimurti B.Ed. College for Women, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Trimurti B.Ed. College for Women, At-Trimurtinagar, Newasa Phata, 90/2, Murme, Ahmednagar Aurangabad Highway, Newasa, Ahmednagar – 414603, Maharashtra.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

6

F.No.89-135/E-160222/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Krishna Yogiraj Degree College, Ratibhanpur, Pipalgawan, Sikandra Rao, Hathras, Uttar Pradesh dated 14/07/2020 is against the Minutes of 311th Meeting of NRC held from 16th to 17th January, 2020 (Item no. 103) dated 17.01.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted approved faculty list in original. The institution has not submitted the details of salary distributed to the faculty along with 6 months bank statement. The website link showing the faculty details is not submitted by the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Senior Clerk, Sri Krishna Yogiraj Degree College, Ratibhanpur, Pipalgawan, Sikandra Rao, Hathras, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution submitted the approved staff list by related university within the time in original. The institution gave the salary to staff in hand and maintain a stock register, If NCTE wants to check we can submit the photocopy of the stock register but there is no rule and regulation in NCTE to ask about the bank details. Regarding in this, we have updated our website regularly and all the staff list and documents are uploaded regularly."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme from academic session 2002-03. From the Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 24/06/2009 issued to appellant institution it is observed that revised

recognition order for 2 year B.Ed. course under NCTE Regulation, 2014 was not issued due to non-submission of required documents i.e. affidavit undertaking abiding by the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution by its reply dated 21/06/2019 to the S.C.N. dated 24/06/2019 submitted an affidavit dated 20/06/2019. By the affidavit submitted management stated that it had understood the implications of NCTE Regulation, 2014 and opted to run 2 units of 2 year B.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee noted that a 2nd S.C.N. dated 26/12/2019 was issued to appellant institution seeking (a) original faculty list approved by affiliating university, (b) Bank statement of salary disbursed to faculty, (c) Website link, (d) Explanation on the points raised in a complaint dated 19/11/2019 by B.R.A. University, Agra.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant could not submit bank statement to support that faculty appointed is being paid salary in accordance with Clause 10 (2) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014. Copies of acquaintance rolls submitted by appellant are treated as part of internal record which can be prepared mechanically and internally by the institution. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned decision taken against item no. 103 of 311th Meeting of NRC held on 16-17th January, 2020. Appeal Committee further desired that a formal order of withdrawal should have been issued by NRC by now and placed on the regulatory file.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned decision taken against item no. 103 of 311th Meeting of NRC held on 16-17th January, 2020. Appeal Committee further desired that NRC should issue a formal order of withdrawal in compliance of the decision taken in 311th Meeting of NRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Chairperson, Sri Krishna Yogiraj Degree College, Ratibhanpur, 999-A, Pipalgawan, NH-91, Sikandra Rao, Hathras, Uttar Pradesh – 204211.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

7

F.No.89-136/E-160194/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of State Institute of Physical Education for Women, Alipore, Judges Court Road, Alipore, South 24-Pargana, West Bengal dated 09/07/2020 is against the Order No. ERC-279.66/APE00394/B.P.Ed./2020/62459 dated 28.02.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Building Completion Certificate (BCC) duly signed by the competent authority in the prescribed proforma is not submitted."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Pintoo Sin, Officer-Incharge, State Institute of Physical Education for Women, Alipore, Judges Court Road, Alipore, South 24-Pargana, West Bengal presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Building Completion Certificate (BCC) of this college duly signed by the competent authority in the prescribed proforma has completed and is hereby submitted for kind consideration."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution, a state Institution of Physical Education for Women, is conducting B.P.Ed. programme from 2007 with an intake of 50 seats. The intake for the course as per revised recognition order dated 28/05/2015 was mentioned as 50 seats where as Appendix – 7 of NCTE Regulation, 2014 prescribe for basic unit of 100 seats.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution with its appeal memoranda had submitted a Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) which is issued by Executive Engineer – II Alipore Division, P.W.D. The total built up area constructed in 1980 is certified to be 3046 sq. meters.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit the B.C.C. to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of State Institute of Physical Education for Women, Alipore, Judges Court Road, Alipore, South 24-Pargana, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Office-In-Charge, State Institute of Physical Education for Women, Alipore, Judges Court Road, Alipore, South 24-Pargana, West Bengal – 700027.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

8

F.No.89-137/E-160338/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Smt. Premlata Mayadevi Agrawal Girls Degree College, Raya, Gonga Road, Mant, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh dated 18/07/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-1253/311th Meeting/2019/208561-66 dated 10.07.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "as per SOP, two SCNs u/s 17 have already been issued. Therefore, the recognition of the institution may be withdrawn as enough opportunities have been given to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Ms. Deepti Kulshrestha, Principal and Sh. Ravi Kumar, O.S., Smt. Premlata Mayadevi Agrawal Girls Degree College, Raya, Gonga Road, Mant, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that Institute already has submitted affidavit dated 27/01/15 to abide by the regulation 2014. Institute have to inform that the recognition was granted by NRC to Smt. Prem Lata Maya Devi Agrawal Girls Degree College, Post- Raya, Dist-Mathura, Pin.-282004, U.P. For B.Ed. Course vid order No-F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1253/8928-8937 dated-16/08/2005, with an annual intake of 100 seats, with effective from 11/08/2005. Institution Smt. Prem Lata Maya Devi Agrawal Girls Degree College, Post- Raya, Dist-Mathura, Pin.-282004, U.P. has all facilities to fulfil all the requirements for 2 basic units (100 students), but due to insufficient admission of B.Ed. students (less than 50 students), in a meeting of Governing Council (Management Committee) of institute dated-14/05/2015 decided to take only 1 basic unit (50 students). As per regulation-2014 the institution has adequate

teaching and non-teaching staff and college is permanent affiliated with examine body. List of teaching and non-teaching staff copy enclosed. To meet the demands of new curriculum, the institute will develop a curriculum lab by october-2015 and for that purpose the institute already have sufficient built-up area. So no additional construction is required. Institution have Rs.5,00,000/- (five lakhs) and Rs.3,00,000 (three lakhs) FDR. For additional fund a writ petition is filled by self-finance association in Hon'ble Up-High Court-Allahabad, which is pending. Institution may deposit additional fund afterwards if required. Institution is ready for any type of inspection/verification by any authorised authority constituted by regional committee. All required facilities are available in the institution for conducting 2 years B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students (1 unit). The institute is ready to cooperate for fulfilment of other requirements prescribed by all regulatory bodies like UGC/ affiliating university/ body / State Govt. etc as applicable. The institution is ready to submit a self-appraisal report to regional committee at the end of each academic year along with the statement of annual accounts duly audited by chartered accountant. The institution already has its own website, which is updated on regular basis as per guidelines of NCTE. It is submitted you for further necessary action if any. The intent of this letter is to submit our response to the show cause notice issued to our institute (ref. Number – F.No./NRC/NCTE/UP-1253/2018/191888, dated 28th March 2018) Smt. Premlata Mayadevi Agarwal Girls Degree College, Post Raya, District Mathura, Uttar Pradesh – 281204. We had submitted affidavit concerned to come under new regulation, 2014 on date 21st January 2015 but due to insufficient/less number of admissions in B.Ed. course (2 years course) the Governing Council (Management Committee) of institute decided to take only 1 basic unit (50 students). A copy of that letter was submitted by our institute to NCTE on date 15/05/2015. Since our institute had decided to opt for only 1 unit (50 students) therefore no additional facilities which include a) additional built up area b) additional infrastructure c) additional funds as per regulation 2014 needs augmentation from our side as highlighted in our previous letter dated 15/05/2015 the state government has already decreased the number of unit one (50 students) instead of two units (100 students). As per regulation-2014, the institute has adequate teaching staff approved by affiliating body for 1 unit (50 students) and required documents were

already submitted to NCTE. As annexure 2 iv.) The institute is ready for any type of inspection/verification by any authorised authority constituted by regional committee. For para 5: we believe, there might be some kind of miscommunication between institution and the NCTE. The institution had submitted the documents in lieu of revised recognition order on dated-28/09/2015. Copy enclosed herewith as annexure 3 the institution is always ready to cooperate and communicate with every concerning body. Subject: submission of representation against show cause notice, (under section 17 of NCTE Act, 1993). Institution gave advertisement in Dainik Jagran and Hindustan Newspapers on 13/02/2019. The institute has demanded for the subjects experts from the Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University Agra on dated 15/02/2019, subsequently on 27th March 2019 the university provided the expert's list letter. Post which on 8th June 2019 the process of approval of B.Ed. Faculty (08+03), for specific subject teacher, Fine Art, Music and Physical Education on 1 unit (i.e., 50 students) has been completed. The faculty approval letter was drafted on 22nd June 2019 (Ref No- Affli/10131/2019) and was sent to us on 14th July 2019 by Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Agra. Due to the delay caused by the university's end in sending the approval letter, we were bound to send the performa and affidavit to NCTE not prior than 15th of July 2019 post completion of faculty approval process Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University redirected our college name for listing process of admission in B.Ed. Course to Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University Bareilly U.P. With annual intake of 1 unit (50 students). Accordingly, Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University, Bareilly U.P. has expedited the process for granting us 50 students. Going forward, a university letter No- Affli/8109/2019 dated-19/01/2019 was a general letter and issued to approximately 150 affiliated colleges from Dr. B.R. Ambedkar University, Agra. But since we comply with all the Rules and Regulations as stated by NCTE for one unit (50 students).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned withdrawal order dated 10/07/2020 is on the ground that appellant institution has not submitted reply to the two Show Cause Notice (SCNs) dated 28/03/2018 and 19/06/2019. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats by an order dated 16/08/2005. Further a revised recognition

order dated 06/06/2015 was issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014 for an intake of 2 units (50+50=100). Appeal Committee noted that there is no evidence available in the regulatory file to support that appellant institution had requested NRC to reduce the intake from 2 units to 1 unit.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that NRC issued a Show Cause Notice dated 28/03/2018 to appellant institution seeking compliance of the terms and conditions of revised recognition order. Subsequently, Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar University, Agra by its letter dated 15/02/2019 informed that a number of institutions including the appellant institution is not possessing adequate academic faculty as required under the NCTE Regulation, 2014. Another Show Cause Notice dated 19/06/2019 was issued to appellant institution and this S.C.N. contained the observations of affiliating university.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee observed that appellant institution in its reply dated 15/07/2019 submitted a handwritten list containing the names of a Principal and 10 lecturers but the list is not approved/countersigned by the University. Appellant has not made any formal request to NRC to reduce the intake from 100 to 50 seats. The submission made by appellant during appeal hearing is not adequate enough to ensure compliance of terms and conditions as enumerated in Appendix 4 of the revised recognition order. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned withdrawal order dated 10/07/2020.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned withdrawal order dated 10/07/2020.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Principal, Smt. Premlata Mayadevi Agrawal Girls Degree College, Raya, Gonga Road, Mant, Mathura – 281204, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

(9)

F.No.89-138/E-160411/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Venkatesvara College of Education, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu dated 14/03/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO7046/B.Ed/TN/2020/115216-115222 dated 25.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institute did not submit certified copy with Notarized English Translation of Land documents. The LUC is not in proper format of State Government. The institute did not submit Notarized English Translation of NEC. The building plan is neither approved nor legible. Domain name of the website being run by the institution with uploading of requisite information not provided. The BCC is not approved by the Competent Authority. Signature of Registrar on the proforma of faculty are not legible. FDRs for endowment fund and reserve fund are not maintained as per NCTE Regulations for 12 lakh for each course. Earmarked area for both courses have not been reflected in the Building Plan."

AND WHEREAS Sh. P. Jagannathan, Admin. Officer and Dr. M. Elangoran, Principal, Venkatesvara College of Education, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "notarized English translation of land documents are herewith submitted. The LUC is submitted in the proper format of Government of Tamilnadu duly signed by Tahsildar, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu. Notarized English Translation of NEC is herewith submitted. Clear and legible copy of the approved Building Plan is herewith submitted. Domain name of the website of this college and required information have been provided and all the information has been uploaded. http://www.vcepdkt.com/ The BCC is approved by the competent authority Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Building

Construction and Maintenance Town Section, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu, is herewith submitted. Clear and legible copy of the proforma of the faculty with the signature of the Registrar, with official seal of Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai, Tamilnadu is herewith enclosed. FDRs Rs.12 lakhs for endowment fund and reserve fund are already available in this college for each programme (B.Ed. and M.Ed.). maintenance of the FDRs in accordance with NCTE Regulations for 12 lakhs for each programme have been rearranged and the copy of the FDRs with form a duly signed by the concerned branch Manager is herewith submitted. 1. Details of the FDRs for B.Ed. programme: Rs.5 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00001108 of Punjab National Bank, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu Rs.7 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00036211 of Punjab National Bank, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu. 2. Details of the FDRs for M.Ed. programme: Rs.5 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00001126 of punjab national bank, pudukkottai, tamilnadu. Rs.7 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00036220 of Punjab National Bank, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu. Adequate building is available in this college for B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes with built up area of 2793 sq.mts (30063 sq.ft) and clearly earmarked building plan for B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes is herewith submitted."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is a composite institution conducting B.Ed. and M.Ed. programme since 2007 and 2009 respectively. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was inspected twice before grant of recognition for B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes. Appellant institution continues to function from the same address. Land and building documents available on regulatory file reveal that appellant institution owns land measuring 7 acre and 62 cents. There are quite a number of documents on the regulatory files for B.Ed. & M.Ed. such as C.L.U., N.E.C. which can be viewed as supporting evidence of the land owned by institution on the basis of which initial recognition was granted to the institution in the year 2007/2009.

AND WHEREAS appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted legible copies of Building Plan and Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.). Whereas the stamp of approving authority on the Building plan is in vernacular language the stamp

of Assistant Engineer, P.W.D. Pudukkottai is in English language. The land and built up area as in the B.C.C. is 10,117 sq. meters and 2793 sq. meters. Appellant also submitted copies of latest NEC (English), C.L.U., FDRs and Form 'A' and list of faculty approved by affiliating University.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee observed that appellant should fairly understand the difference between certified copy of Land document and attested copy of land document. Certified copy of land document is a copy issued with the signatures and seal of land registering authority and appellant institution is required to submit (i) Certified copy of land documents, (ii) List of faculty, (iii) C.L.U., (iv) N.E.C., (iv) FDR with Form 'A', (v) FDR with Form 'A', (vi) Printout of website page to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submission made by appellant. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Venkatesvara College of Education, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Venkatesvara College of Education, 38, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu — 622303.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

10

F.No.89-139/E-160411/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Venkatesvara College of Education, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu dated 14/03/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO9375/M.Ed/TN/2020/115202-115208 dated 25.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institute did not submit certified copy with Notarized English Translation of Land documents. The LUC is not in proper format of State Government. The institute did not submit Notarized English Translation of NEC. The building plan is neither approved nor legible. Domain name of the website being run by the institution with uploading of requisite information not provided. The BCC is not approved by the Competent Authority. Signature of Registrar on the proforma of faculty are not legible. FDRs for endowment fund and reserve fund are not maintained as per NCTE Regulations for 12 lakh for each course. Earmarked area for both courses have not been reflected in the Building Plan."

AND WHEREAS Sh. P. Jagannathan, Admin. Officer and Dr. M. Elangoran, Principal, Venkatesvara College of Education, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that notarized English translation of land documents are herewith submitted. The LUC is submitted in the proper format of Government of Tamilnadu duly signed by Tahsildar, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu. Notarized English Translation of NEC is herewith submitted. Clear and legible copy of the approved Building Plan is herewith submitted. Domain name of the website of this college and required information have been provided and all the information have been uploaded. http://www.vcepdkt.com/ The BCC is approved by the competent authority Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Building

Construction and Maintenance Town Section, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu, is herewith submitted. Clear and legible copy of the proforma of the faculty with the signature of the Registrar, with official seal of Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai, Tamilnadu is herewith enclosed. FDRs Rs.12 lakhs for endowment fund and reserve fund are already available in this college for each programme (B.Ed. and M.Ed.). maintenance of the FDRs in accordance with NCTE Regulations for 12 lakhs for each programme have been rearranged and the copy of the FDRs with form a duly signed by the concerned branch Manager is herewith submitted. 1. Details of the FDRs for B.Ed. programme: Rs.5 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00001108 of Punjab National Bank, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu Rs.7 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00036211 of Punjab National Bank, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu. 2. Details of the FDRs for M.Ed. programme: Rs.5 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00001126 of punjab national bank, pudukkottai, tamilnadu. Rs.7 lakhs - FDR No.039300qp00036220 of Punjab National Bank, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu. Adequate building is available in this college for B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes with built up area of 2793 sq.mts (30063 sq.ft) and clearly earmarked building plan for B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes is herewith submitted.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is a composite institution conducting B.Ed. and M.Ed. programme since 2007 and 2009 respectively. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was inspected twice before grant of recognition for B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes. Appellant institution continues to function from the same address. Land and building documents available on regulatory file reveal that appellant institution owns land measuring 7 acre and 62 cents. There are quite a number of documents on the regulatory files for B.Ed. & M.Ed. such as C.L.U., N.E.C. which can be viewed as supporting evidence of the land owned by institution on the basis of which initial recognition was granted to the institution in the year 2007/2009.

AND WHEREAS appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted legible copies of Building Plan and Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.). Whereas the stamp of approving authority on the Building plan is in vernacular language the stamp

of Assistant Engineer, P.W.D. Pudukkottai is in English language. The land and built up area as in the B.C.C. is 10,117 sq. meters and 2793 sq. meters. Appellant also submitted copies of latest NEC (English), C.L.U., FDRs and Form 'A' and list of faculty approved by affiliating University.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee observed that appellant should fairly understand the difference between certified copy of Land document and attested copy of land document. Certified copy of land document is a copy issued with the signatures and seal of land registering authority and appellant institution is required to submit (i) Certified copy of land documents, (ii) List of faculty, (iii) C.L.U., (iv) N.E.C., (iv) FDR with Form 'A', (v) FDR with Form 'A', (vi) Printout of website page to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submission made by appellant. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Venkatesvara College of Education, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Secretary, Venkatesvara College of Education, 38, Kaikurichi, Thirumalairaya Samudram, Pudukkottai – 622303, Tamil Nadu.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

12

F.No.89-141/E-160595/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of DIET (District Institute of Education & Training, Narayanpur, Bakhrupara, Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh dated 11/06/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/APP7729/D.El.Ed./287th/C.G./2018/194954 dated 06.02.2018 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the case file was seen Show Cause Notice was issued to institution on 01.02.2017. The institution has not replied so far."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Girish Bhaskar, Officiating Principal, DIET (District Institute of Education & Training, Narayanpur, Bakhrupara, Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "DIET, Narayanpur is Govt. Institution. The requirement as per clause 2(b) and 3(a) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 is completed now."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that the application dated 30/05/2016 submitted by DIET, Narayanpur was rejected by WRC by issue of impugned refusal order dated 06/02/2018 for the reason that institution did not reply to Show Cause Notice (S.C.N.) S.C.N. was issued on the ground that applicant institution was not a composite one and thus does not fulfil Clause 2 (b) and 3 (a) of NCTE, Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that subsequent to the issue of impugned refusal order dated 06/02/2018, the State Government of Chhattisgarh made a representation to NCTE and Chairperson, NCTE in light of the request received form State Government exercised its powers vested under Section 12 of NCTE Regulation,

2014 and relaxed the condition of Clause 2(b) and 3(a) in respect of "Composite Institution" in respect of DIET, under the control of Government of Chhattisgarh.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, however, does not find any communication on the regulatory file wherein relaxation granted under Clause 12 of the Regulation was conveyed to the State Government of Chhattisgarh. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits copy of relaxation letter issued by NCTE within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submission made by appellant, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits copy of relaxation letter issued by NCTE within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of DIET (District Institute of Education & Training, Narayanpur, Bakhrupara, Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Incharge Principal, DIET (District Institute of Education & Training, 162, Narayanpur, Bakhrupara, Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh – 494661.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

13

F.No.89-142/E-160619/2020 Appeal/18th Mtg.-2020/2nd September, 2020

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 06/10/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Nohar Degree College, Nohar, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan dated 05/07/2020 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615049/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-2018/2; dated 28.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the applicant institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN dt. 20.01.2017 within the stipulated time. NRC decided to reject the application and refuse the recognition. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected, and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Mohit Bansal, Chairman, Nohar Degree College, Nohar, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/09/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "The appellant society submitted application for grant of recognition of four-year integrated programme comprising B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. on 03/04/2016. The institution was issued Show Cause Notice dated 22/01/2017. Institution submitted reply dated 13/02/2017. Appellant society kept on waiting but did not get any appropriate reply from NRC office. Thereafter appellant society was forced to take legal recourse. Appellant came to know of rejection of its application during court proceedings. Appellant thereafter withdrew its legal case for filing appeal. Impugned rejection order is liable to be set aside as NRC has not considered reply to the SCN."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has filed a S.B. Civil Writ Petition no. 17780/2019 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 02/03/2020 dismissed the Writ Petition and allowed the petitioner to file appeal in accordance with law.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 20/01/2017 was issued to appellant institution for submitting (i) Latest affiliation order, (ii) certified land documents, (iii) N.E.C., (iv) Approved Building Plan indicating the total land area, built up area, measurement of multipurpose hall etc. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted to NRC with its letter dated 27/02/2017 copy of (i) affiliation letter dated 27/05/2016 issued by Maharaja Ganga Singh University, (ii) N.O.C. dated 27/05/2016, (iii) certified copy of lease deed issued by Deputy Registrar, Nohar (Lease allotment letter issued by Nagar Palika Board), (iv) C.L.U., (v) N.E.C. dated 05/10/2016 issued by Revenue Department, (vi) Building Plan approved by Assistant Engineer, Nohar (Ward - 3143).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 28/03/2017 issued by NRC was neither consistent nor justified. At one place impugned order states that the reply to S.C.N. was considered in the NRC meeting and subsequently it is stated that appellant institution has not submitted reply within stipulated time. Appeal Committee decided that minor delay should not have been a constraint when the Regional Committee in its meeting had before it a complete reply submitted by the applicant institution. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to Western Regional Committee (earlier NRC) for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to Western Regional Committee (earlier NRC) for revisiting the matter.

166010/2020/Appeal Section-HQ

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Nohar Degree College, Nohar, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(T. Pritam Singh)
H.O.D.

1. The Chairman, Nohar Degree College, Nohar, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan – 335523.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.